

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

ROSANNA B. GAVIN,	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
vs.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:07-0924-HFF-JRM
	§
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,	§
Commissioner of Social Security,	§
Defendant.	§

ORDER

This case was filed as a Social Security action. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendant's decision be reversed pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and that the case be remanded to Defendant for further administrative action as set out in the Report. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

3:07-cv-00924-HFF Date Filed 09/09/08 Entry Number 36 Page 2 of 2

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on August 19, 2008, but Plaintiff failed to file any

objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any

explanation for adopting the recommendation. Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th

Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court that Defendant's motion to remand is **GRANTED** to the extent that his decision is

REVERSED pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and the case is **REMANDED** to

Defendant for further administrative action as set out in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 9th day of September, 2008, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2